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1. Background to the Dresden SUMP

→ Improve mobility and quality of live

- strategic integrated plan to meet the mobility needs of people and businesses in Dresden and the region for the next decades

- several challenges:
  - developments which are hard to forecast: economic developments, the price of energy
  - European and national legislation
  - impairments on quality of life from transport sector
  - demographic change
  - change of mobility behaviour
  - structural changes and technical developments
  - maintenance of infrastructure and its financing
  - new forms of cooperation and culture of debate for public acceptance, cooperation at national and international level (POLIS)
2. SUMP process in Dresden - steps of the planning process

Dresden started the SUMP planning process in autumn 2009.

Dresden plans to be here at the end of 2013/beginning of 2014.

Now we are here, November 2012.
3. **SUMP features – mobility policies and measures**

**initial phase**
- determine potential
  - framework/timeline
  - participation/structure/actors + stakeholders
- define the process: workplan and management arrangements
- constitution of the bodies

**working phase**
- problem and deficiency analysis
- European-wide tender to find a consultant
- discussion and specification of objectives
- political decision of objectives
- analysis of mobility and traffic development
- set priorities and measurable targets, develop scenarios and impact determination
- develop a common vision (priority scenario) – political decision
- develop effective packages of measures
- elaborate the TDP draft (mobility strategy and action concept) including monitoring and evaluation concept
- elaborate the TDP together with bodies
- involve citizens in the “Dresden Debate”

**concluding phase**
- political discussion and adoption of SUMP
- manage plan implementation and communication
- analysis and evaluation of involvement and participation
- start of monitoring and evaluation

---

**Steering Group**

**Round Table**

**Scientific Advisory Board**

**Round Table Region**

**Specific involvement of politics**

- public relation work / inform and engage the citizen

---
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4. Tools for involving

**Steering committee** led by mayor Jörn Marx
Representatives of city council groups, heads of department, City of Dresden officials, councillors, project managers, round table facilitators

- Round table
  - Led by mayor Jörn Marx with moderator
  - Transport providers (3 seats)
  - Transport associations (3 seats)
  - Business associations (3 seats)
  - City administration SUMP project group (3 seats)
  - Other social interests (6 seats)
  - City council groups (6 seats)
  - Spokesperson for scientific advisory board – in advisory capacity

- Scientific advisory board
  - Representatives of transport and transport-related research areas, Dresden University of Technology and other German research institutions

- Region/neighbours
  - City administration project group
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4. Tools for involving - Round table

- City Administration
- TDP Project Group
- Public Transport Providers
- Other Social Interests
- Urban Quality
- City Council Representatives
- Scientific Advisory Board
- Traffic & Transport Associations
- Business Associations
-

Moderator
4. Tools for involving - Round table

- objectives
- problem analysis
- requirements, comments and support

- advisory body
- transparency of the planning process
- chairperson is imperative
- clear rules
- formation of groups
- cannot replace political decisions
4. Tools for involving – regional round table

- exchange information and define common interests
- integration / networking
- clarify issues and fears

- integrative territorial transport planning
- face-to-face meetings
- inter-community cooperation, also beyond the TDP process
4. Tools for involving – Scientific Advisory Board

- discussion of methods, goals, also on tender
- key points and innovative approaches

- methodology know-how, innovative solutions and neutral conflict evaluations
- critical recommendations and feeling of confidence
- integrative and consensus-building role in case of technical differences of opinion
- critical, but at the same time mediating partner
4. Tools for involving – politics, intermediate City Council resolutions

- Discussions with planning policy spokespersons of all City Council groups
  - additional discussions with the responsible mayor and the scientific advisory board
  - to find a compromise between different and controversial opinions

- Intermediate City Council resolutions
  - resolution on objectives (March 2011) and resolution on scenarios (September 2012)
  - Round table cannot replace the decision of the political bodies (it can advise)
  - intermediate resolutions for confidence and planning certainty
  - lengthen the time, but improving acceptance and integration of policy aspects
4. Tools for involving – public relation work

- Website
- Official journal articles
- Public Panel discussion in October 2010 and in June 2012
- POLIS annual conferences 2010, 2011 and 2012
- SUMP exhibition
- Planners’ café
- Newsletter “TDP 2025plus Dresden”
- National SUMP Colloquium on 15 October 2012
- Planned for 2013: Public involvement “Dresden Debate”
Lessons learned

- **timeline:**
  - ambitious timeline/timeframe to ensure swift realisation (within the election period), even in case of unplanned delays in the process
  - transparency and target orientation of the planning process

- **selection of planning office:**
  - Europe-wide tender, even if the response is low
  - intensive involvement of the scientific advisory board (methods, evaluation, etc.)
  - final selection not by City Administration alone, better by partners
Lessons learned

organisation:
- proven scope of all bodies
- define rules of cooperation
- neutral chair necessary for the Round table
- result-oriented discussion, avoiding polemics
- integrated work within the bodies
- constant public relations work with intensified final phase

work process:
- parallel involvement of municipal politics as the competent decision-making body in the established forms
- promote consensus-building and deeper content discussion through meetings with the planning policy spokespersons of the council groups
- intermediate resolutions to secure results, further steps and evaluation components
Thank you very much for your attention!
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